

## Uniquely decodable & Instantaneous code:

Uniquely Decodable Code (UDC):

A distinct code is uniquely decodable if the original source sequence can be reconstructed perfectly from the encoded binary sequence.

Prefix-free condition is sufficient condition to ensure that a code is uniquely decodable but it is not a necessary condition.

eg. ①  $A \rightarrow 0$       Let us consider  
 $B \rightarrow 1$

$C \rightarrow 10$

$D \rightarrow 11$

$100 \rightarrow$  It can be created as,

$\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ \hline CA \end{array}$  &  $\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ \hline BAA \end{array}$

Since it is created in two ways, so it is not a uniquely decodable code.

②  $A \rightarrow 0$ ,  $B \rightarrow 10$ ,  $C \rightarrow 110$ ,  $D \rightarrow 111$

Let us consider,

$100 \rightarrow$  It can be created as only

$\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ \hline BA \end{array}$

It can be created in a unique way, so it is UDC.

## 2) Instantaneous code:

A necessary and sufficient condition for a code to be instantaneous is that none of the codeword in the code should be a prefix of another code.

Examples of instantaneous code  $\rightarrow$

Let us consider a source 'S' consisting of five symbols  $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5\}$ , so the instantaneous code,

$$s_1 \rightarrow 0$$

$$s_2 \rightarrow 10$$

$$s_3 \rightarrow 110$$

$$s_4 \rightarrow 1110$$

$$s_5 \rightarrow 1111$$

$$s_1 \rightarrow 00$$

$$s_2 \rightarrow 01$$

$$s_3 \rightarrow 10$$

$$s_4 \rightarrow 110$$

$$s_5 \rightarrow 111$$

 $S_5 \rightarrow 1111$ 

### \* Examples of Kraft Inequality:

Q) Consider a DMS 'X' with symbols  $x_i$  with  $i=1, 2, 3, 4$ . Below table links four possible binary codes.

$x_i$	Code A	Code B	Code C	Code D
$x_1$	00	0	0	0
$x_2$	01	10	11	100
$x_3$	10	11	100	110
$x_4$	11	110	110	111

Scanned with CamScanner

Show that all the codes except code B satisfies the Kraft Inequality.

Sol<sup>n</sup> →  
For Code A →  $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n_4 = 2$

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^4 2^{-n_i}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\boxed{K = 1} \leq 1 \text{ (So Kraft Inequality Satisfies)}$$

For Code B →

$$n_1 = 1, n_2 = n_3 = 2, n_4 = 3$$

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^4 2^{-n_i} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{9}{8} \approx 1.1$$

Since,  $K > 1$  (So Kraft Inequality doesn't Satisfies here).

For Code C

$$n_1 = 1, n_2 = 2, n_3 = n_4 = 3$$

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^4 2^{-n_i} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8}$$

$$\boxed{K = 1 \leq 1} \text{ (So Kraft Inequality Satisfies here).}$$

Scanned with CamScanner

For Code D

$$n_1 = 1, n_2 = n_3 = n_4 = 3$$

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^4 2^{-n_i} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8}$$

$$\boxed{K = \frac{7}{8} \leq 1} \text{ (So Kraft Inequality satisfies here)}$$

## Entropy Coding

The design of a variable length code such that its average codeword length approaches the entropy of the DMS is often referred to as Entropy Coding.

It is divided in two parts  $\rightarrow$

- 1) Shannon fano coding.
- 2) Huffman coding.

$$\boxed{K = 1 = 1}$$