

Bounded function: Let  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function so that  $f(A)$  is image set  $A$  under  $f$ . Then  $f$  is bounded if the set  $f(A)$  is bounded - i.e.,  $\exists K \in \mathbb{R}$  st  $K \leq f(x) \leq K' \forall x \in A$

Theorem: If  $f$  is continuous on closed interval  $[a, b]$ , then it is bdd in that interval

Proof: Let  $f$  be continuous on  $[a, b]$

Assume  $f$  is not bdd above.

$\Rightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists x_n \in [a, b] \text{ s.t. } f(x_n) > n$

(i.e.,  $f(x_1) > 1, f(x_2) > 2, \dots, f(x_n) > n \dots$ )

$\Rightarrow \langle x_n \rangle$  is a sequence in  $[a, b]$  & hence bounded. ①

$\Rightarrow \exists$  a subseq.  $\langle x_{mn} \rangle \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$   $x \in [a, b]$  by Bolzano-Weierstrass thm for seq.

$\therefore f(x_m) > m \Rightarrow f(x_{mn}) > m_n + n$   $\because \langle m_n \rangle \uparrow \text{seq.}$

$\Rightarrow \langle f(x_{mn}) \rangle$  diverges to  $\infty$  - i.e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{mn}) = \infty$

$\Rightarrow \langle f(x_{mn}) \rangle$  diverges to  $\infty$  - i.e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{mn}) = \infty$  (since  $f$  is continuous)

But from ①  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{mn}) \rightarrow f(x) \neq \infty$  contradiction (if  $f(x) = \infty$  then  $f$  is not continuous)

$\Rightarrow f$  is not continuous. ~~at  $x$~~  contradiction

$\Rightarrow$  Our assumption was wrong. Hence,  $f$  is bdd above

Similarly prove  $f$  is bdd below.

$\Rightarrow f$  is bdd on  $[a, b]$  //

Note: Converse of above theorem is not true

Let  $f(x) = \begin{cases} \sin(\frac{1}{x}) & x \neq 0 \\ 0 & x=0 \end{cases}$   $f$  is bdd ( $\because |f(x)| \leq 1$ )  
 But  $f$  is not continuous at  $x=0$ .

Theorem (Extreme Value Theorem): If a fn is continuous on a closed interval  $[a, b]$ , then it attains its supremum and infimum at least once in  $[a, b]$ .

Proof: If  $f$  is constant fn, clearly, it attains its bounds at every point in the interval.

Let  $f \neq$  constant

$\because f$  is continuous on  $[a, b] \therefore$  Bdd

Let  $m = \inf_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$  and  $M = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$

To prove:  $\exists \alpha, \beta \in [a, b]$  st.  $f(\alpha) = m$  and  $f(\beta) = M$ .

Consider Supremum: Suppose  $f$  does not attain supremum,  $M$  in  $[a, b]$   
 $\Rightarrow f(x) < M$  for any  $x \in [a, b]$

Consider  $g(x) = \frac{1}{M - f(x)}$   $\forall x \in [a, b]$ . Then  $g(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$

and  $g$  is continuous & bdd on  $[a, b]$ . Let  $k = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} g(x)$

$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{M - f(\alpha)} \leq k \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$

$\Rightarrow f(\alpha) \leq M - \frac{1}{k} \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$  Contradiction as  $M = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$

Hence,  $f$  attains supremum for atleast one value i.e.,  $\exists \beta$  in  $[a, b]$  st.  $f(\beta) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x) = M$ .

Similarly prove  $f(\alpha) = \inf_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$ .

Hence,  $f(x)$  attains sup & inf atleast once in  $[a, b]$

Note: In above them closed interval is must.

Let consider  $f(x) = x$  in  $(0, 1)$ . Then  $f$  is continuous & bdd but  $\sup f (= 1)$  &  $\inf f (= 0)$  are not do not belong to  $(0, 1)$ .

Theorem: If  $f$  is continuous at  $x=c$ , where  $f(c) \neq 0$ , then  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $f(x)$  has same sign as  $f(c)$  for all  $x \in (c-\delta, c+\delta)$ .

Proof:  $\because f$  is continuous at  $x=c$ , for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $x \in (c-\delta, c+\delta) \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$ .  
 $\therefore f(c) \neq 0$ , either  $f(c) > 0$  or  $f(c) < 0$ .

Case I:  $f(c) > 0$ . choose  $\epsilon > 0$  s.t.  $f(c) > \epsilon$ . Then  
 $f(c) \pm \epsilon > 0$ . Hence,  $f(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in (c-\delta, c+\delta)$  (from  $\epsilon$ )  
 $\Rightarrow f(x)$  has same sign as  $f(c) \quad \forall x \in (c-\delta, c+\delta)$

Case II:  $f(c) < 0$  choose  $\epsilon > 0$  s.t.  $-f(c) > \epsilon$   
 $\Rightarrow f(c) \pm \epsilon < 0 \Rightarrow f(x) < 0 \quad \forall x \in (c-\delta, c+\delta)$  (from  $\epsilon$ )  
 $\Rightarrow f(x)$  has same sign as  $f(c) \quad \forall x \in (c-\delta, c+\delta)$

Theorem: If  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  and  $f(a)$  &  $f(b)$  have opposite signs, then  $\exists$  at least one value of  $x$  in  $[a, b]$  for which  $f(x)$  vanishes (i.e., zero).

Proof: Assume  $f(a) < 0 \& f(b) > 0$  (You can take otherwise also)

Let  $S = \{x : x \in [a, b], f(x) < 0\} \neq \emptyset$ .  $S \neq \emptyset$  ( $\because a \in S$ )  
 $\& b$  is upper bound for  $S \Rightarrow \sup S$  exists

Let  $c = \sup S$ . To prove:  $f(c) = 0$  (Bolzano Weierstrass theorem for seq.).

$\Rightarrow$  no point of  $S$  can lie to the right of  $c$  as  $c = \sup S$ .

If  $f(c) > 0$ , from above theorem  $f(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in (c-\delta, c+\delta)$

$\Rightarrow$  No point of  $S$  can be to right of  $c$  as  $c = \sup S$ .

$\Rightarrow$   $c-\delta$  is upper bound of  $S$  contradiction to  $c = \sup S$ .

$\therefore f(c) \neq 0$   $\tau^1$

If  $f(c) < 0$  then from above theorem  $f(x) < 0 \forall x \in [c, s]$   
 $\Rightarrow f(x) < 0$  for some  $x > c$  contradiction  
 But  ~~$c = \sup S$~~   $\Rightarrow f(x) < 0 \forall x \leq c$  contradiction  
 $\Rightarrow f(c) \neq 0$   $\quad \text{---(2)}$   
 From (1) & (2)  $f(c) = 0$ .  $\therefore f(a) < 0 \& f(b) > 0 \Rightarrow c \neq a$   
 $\therefore c \in (a, b)$  //

Intermediate value Theorem: If  $f$  is continuous on the closed interval  $[a, b]$  and  $f(a) \neq f(b)$ , then  $f(x)$  takes at least once ~~the~~ all the values b/w  $f(a) \& f(b)$

Proof:  $\because f(a) \neq f(b)$  either  $f(a) > f(b)$  or  $f(b) > f(a)$   
 Let  $f(a) < f(b)$ . Let 'k' be any number b/w  $f(a) \& f(b)$   
 Define  $g(x) = f(x) - k \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$   
 $\rightarrow g(x)$  is continuous &  $g(a) < 0 \& g(b) > 0$   
 $\rightarrow \exists c \in (a, b)$  s.t  $g(c) = 0$  (from above theorem)  
 $\Rightarrow f(c) = k$   
 Hence,  $f(x)$  takes value  $k$  at least once, since  $k$  is arbitrary we have the result

H.W prove above theorem when  $f(a) > f(b)$

Note: converse of above thm is not true  
 $f(x) = \begin{cases} x & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ x-1 & 1 \leq x \leq 3 \end{cases}$

Here  $f(0) = 0$   
 $f(3) = 2$

$f(x)$  takes all values b/w 0 & 2 but  $f(x)$  is not continuous at  $x=1$ .

Corollary: If  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  then  $f([a, b]) = [m, M]$   
 where  $m = \inf f$  and  $M = \sup f$  on  $[a, b]$  & thus  $f([a, b])$  is a closed set.

Uniform Continuity - A fcn defined on  $[a, b]$  is said to be uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]$  if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  (depending only on  $\epsilon$ ) s.t.  $|x_1 - x_2| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < \epsilon$

Note: continuity  $\nsubseteq$  uniform continuity

(2) In order to prove uniform continuity make  $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq k|x_1 - x_2|$   
So, by taking  $S = \frac{\epsilon}{k}$ ,  $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < \epsilon$  whenever  $|x_1 - x_2| < S$ .

Ex Show that  $f(x) = x^2$  is uniformly continuous on  $[0, 1]$

Soln Let  $x_1, x_2 \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow |x_i| \leq 1 \quad i=1, 2$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| = |x_1^2 - x_2^2| \leq |x_1 - x_2|(|x_1| + |x_2|) \\ \leq 2|x_1 - x_2| < \epsilon \text{ provided } |x_1 - x_2| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Take  $S = \epsilon/2$ . Therefore,  
 $|x_1 - x_2| < S \Rightarrow |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < \epsilon$

Ex Show that  $f(x) = \frac{x}{x+1}$  is uniformly continuous in  $[0, 2]$

Soln Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given and  $x_1, x_2 \in [0, 2]$  be arbitrary  
 $\therefore 0 \leq x_i \leq 2 \Rightarrow 1 \leq 1+x_i \leq 3 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{3} \leq \frac{1}{1+x_i} < 1 \quad i=1, 2$

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| = \left| \frac{x_1}{x_1+1} - \frac{x_2}{x_2+1} \right| = \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{|(x_1+1)(x_2+1)|} < |x_1 - x_2| < \epsilon \quad (\text{from (1)})$$

Select  $S = \epsilon$ . Then  $|x_1 - x_2| < S \Rightarrow |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < \epsilon$

Ex Prove that  $f(x) = \begin{cases} \sin(\frac{1}{x}) & x \neq 0 \\ 0 & x=0 \end{cases}$  is not uniformly continuous on  $[0, \infty)$

Soln Choose  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  and suppose  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrary.

Let  $x_1 = \frac{1}{n\pi}$  &  $x_2 = \frac{1}{(n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2})}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly  $x_1, x_2 \in (0, \pi)$ .

Then,  $|x_1 - x_2| = \frac{1}{2n(n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2})}$  which can be made less than  $\delta$  by choosing  $n$  large.

$$\begin{aligned} |x_1 - x_2| < \delta &\Rightarrow |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| = |\sin(\frac{1}{x_1}) - \sin(\frac{1}{x_2})| \\ &= |\sin(n\pi) - \sin(n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2})| \\ &= |0 - (-1)^n| = 1 > \frac{1}{2} (= \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f$  is not uniformly continuous.

Note) If  $f(x) = \sin(\frac{1}{x^2})$  it is  $\sin(\frac{1}{x^2})$  take  $x_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  &  $x_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}}$

Ex Show that  $f(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}$  for  $x \in (0, 1)$  is not uniformly continuous.

Soln Let  $x_1, x_2 \in (0, 1) \Rightarrow 0 \leq x_i \leq 1$   
 $\Rightarrow 0 \leq 1-x_i \leq 1$  or,  $1 \leq \frac{1}{1-x_i} < \infty$

Take  $x_1 = \frac{n-1}{n}$  &  $x_2 = \frac{n}{n+1}$ . Clearly  $x_1, x_2 \in (0, 1)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Choose  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ .  $|x_1 - x_2| = \left| \frac{n-1}{n} - \frac{n}{n+1} \right| = \frac{1}{n(n+1)} < \delta$  (choose large  $n$ )

$$\begin{aligned} |x_1 - x_2| < \delta &\Rightarrow |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| = \left| \frac{1}{1-(\frac{n-1}{n})} - \frac{1}{1-(\frac{n}{n+1})} \right| \\ &= |n - (n+1)| = 1 > \frac{1}{2} (= \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow f$  is not uniformly continuous

Theorem If  $f$  is uniformly continuous on interval  $I$ , then it is continuous on  $I$ .

Proof:  $f$  is uniformly continuous  $\Rightarrow$  Given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $|x_1 - x_2| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < \epsilon$ .  
 Choose  $x_2 = c \neq x_1 = c$   
 $\Rightarrow |x - c| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$   
 $\Rightarrow f$  is continuous at  $x=c$  and hence in  $I$ .

Note: converse is not true. e.g.  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  for  $x \in (0, 1)$  is continuous but not uniformly continuous.

Theorem: If a fn  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  then it is uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]$

Proof: Let  $f$  be continuous on  $[a, b]$ . Assume  $f$  is not uniformly continuous.  
 $\exists \epsilon > 0$  s.t. for any  $\delta > 0$ ,  $x, y \in [a, b]$  for which  $|f(x) - f(y)| > \epsilon$  whenever  $|x - y| < \delta$   
 $\Rightarrow$  For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  real no.'s  $x_n, y_n \in [a, b]$  can be found s.t.  $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| > \epsilon$  whenever  $|x_n - y_n| < \frac{1}{n}$  (1)

$\langle x_n \rangle$  &  $\langle y_n \rangle$  are sequences in  $[a, b]$  and are bounded  
 $\Rightarrow$  Each has a limit point and as  $[a, b]$  is closed it belongs to  $[a, b]$   
 Let  $\langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow x$   
 $\& \langle y_n \rangle \rightarrow y$ ,  $x, y \in [a, b]$   
 $\Rightarrow \exists$  a convergent subsequence of  $\langle x_n \rangle$  of  $\langle x_{nk} \rangle$  and  
 $\langle y_{nk} \rangle$  of  $\langle y_n \rangle$  s.t.  $x_{nk} \rightarrow x$  &  $y_{nk} \rightarrow y$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

From (1)  $|f(x_{nk}) - f(y_{nk})| > \epsilon$  whenever  $|x_{nk} - y_{nk}| < \frac{1}{n_k}$   
 $\Rightarrow f(x_{nk}) \neq f(y_{nk})$   
 $\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{nk}) \neq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(y_{nk})$   
 $\Rightarrow x \neq y$  (3)  
 From (2) & (3) we have contradiction  
 $\therefore$  Assumption was wrong.  
 Hence,  $f$  is uniformly continuous //