

Ex 2 Solve the following LPP:

$$\min z = 2x_1 + 5x_2 + 3x_3$$

subject to

$$3x_1 + x_3 \geq 10$$

$$5x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \geq 15$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 \geq 8$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

Standard LPP

$$\min z = 2x_1 + 5x_2 + 3x_3 + 0s_1 + 0s_2 + 0s_3 + Ma_1 + Ma_2 + Ma_3$$

subject to

$$3x_1 + x_3 - s_1 + a_1 = 10$$

$$5x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 - s_2 + a_2 = 15$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 - s_3 + a_3 = 8$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, s_1, s_2, s_3 \geq 0$$

$$a_1, a_2, a_3 \geq 0$$

Initial BFS is $a_1=10, a_2=15, a_3=8$

CB	BV	XB	x_1	x_2	x_3	s_1	s_2	s_3	a_1	a_2	a_3	min ratio
M	a_1	10	3	0	1	-1	0	0	1	0	0	10/3 →
M	a_2	15	5	1	2	0	-1	0	0	1	0	15/5 = 3
M	a_3	8	1	2	0	0	0	-1	0	0	1	8/1 = 8
	$C_j - Z_j$		2 - 0M	5 - 3M	3 - 3M	M	M	M	0	0	0	
CB	BV	XB	x_1	x_2	x_3	s_1	s_2	s_3	a_1	a_3	min ratio	
M	a_1	1	0	-3/5	-1/5	-1	3/5	0	1	0	15	
2	x_1	3	1	1/5	2/5	0	-1/5	0	0	1	25/9 →	
M	a_3	5	0	9/5	-2/5	0	1/5	-1	0	1		
	$C_j - Z_j$		0	(23-6M)/5	(11+3M)/5	M	(2-4M)/5	M	0	0		

Note: a_2 left in 1st table, so column a_2 is removed in 2nd table.

CB	BV	XB	x_1	x_2	x_3	s_1	s_2	s_3	a_1	min ratio
M	a_1	8/3	0	0	-1/3	-1	2/3	-1/3	1	4 →
2	x_1	22/9	1	0	4/9	0	-2/9	1/9	0	
5	x_2	25/9	0	1	-2/9	0	1/9	5/9	0	2.5
	$C_j - Z_j$		0	0	(29+M)/9	M	-1/9	(M+23)/9	0	

Note: a_3 left in 2nd table, so, column a_3 is removed in 3rd table.

			2	5	3	0	0	0	
CB	BV	x_B	x_1	x_2	x_3	s_1	s_2	s_3	min ratio
0	s_2	4	0	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{3}{2}$	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	-
2	x_1	$\frac{10}{3}$	1	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	0	0	-
5	x_2	$\frac{7}{3}$	0	1	$-\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	14 \rightarrow
$g-z_j$			0	0	$\frac{3}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{5}{2}$	

			2	5	3	0	0	0	
CB	BV	x_B	x_1	x_2	x_3	s_1	s_2	s_3	min ratio
0	s_2	11	0	9	-2	0	1	-5	
2	x_1	8	1	2	0	0	0	1	
0	s_1	14	0	6	-1	1	0	3	
$g-z_j$			0	1	3	0	0	2	

All $g_j - z_j \geq 0$, so optimality is reached.

Optimal solution is:
 $x_1 = 8, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 0, z_{min} = 16$ - Ans

Note: This example shows that a slack/surplus variable can replace a decision variable in base.

Ex 3: Solve the LPP:

$$\max z = x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3$$

Subject to

$$x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \geq 4$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \leq 8$$

$$x_1 - x_3 \geq 2$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

Standard LPP

$$\max z = x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 + 0s_1 + 0s_2 + 0s_3 + M a_1 - M a_2$$

subject to

$$x_1 - x_2 + x_3 + s_1 + a_1 = 4$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 + s_2 = 8$$

$$x_1 - x_3 - s_3 + a_2 = 2$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, s_1, s_2, s_3 \geq 0$$

$$a_1, a_2 \geq 0$$

Soln 3

		G	1	2	3	0	0	0	-M	-M		x_3/x_1
CB	BV	x_B	x_1	x_2	x_3	s_1	s_2	s_3	a_1	a_2		min ratio
-M	a_1	4	1	-1	1	-1	0	0	1	0		4
0	s_2	8	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	0		8
-M	a_2	2	1	0	-1	0	0	-1	0	1		2 →
G-Z			1+2M	2-M	3	-M	0	-M	0	0		

		G	1	2	3	0	0	0	-M		x_3/x_2
CB	BV	x_B	x_1	x_2	x_3	s_1	s_2	s_3	a_1		min ratio
-M	a_1	2	0	-1	2	-1	0	1	1		1 →
0	s_2	6	0	1	3	0	1	1	0		2
1	x_1	2	1	0	-1	0	0	-1	0		-
G-Z			0	2+M	4+2M	-M	0	M+1	0		

		G	1	2	3	0	0	0		min ratio
CB	BV	x_B	x_1	x_2	x_3	s_1	s_2	s_3		
3	x_3	1	0	-1/2	1	-1/2	0	1/2		6/5 →
0	s_2	3	0	5/2	0	3/2	1	-1/2		-
1	x_1	3	1	-1/2	0	-1/2	0	-1/2		-
G-Z			0	4	0	2	0	-1		

		G	1	2	3	0	0	0		min ratio
CB	BV	x_B	x_1	x_2	x_3	s_1	s_2	s_3		
3	x_3	8/5	0	0	1	-1/5	1/5	2/5		
2	x_2	6/5	0	1	0	3/5	2/5	-1/5		
1	x_1	18/5	1	0	0	-1/5	1/5	-3/5		
G-Z			0	0	0	-2/5	-8/5	-1/5		

Since all $G-Z \leq 0$ (maximization) optimality is reached. Optimal soln is
 $x_1 = \frac{18}{5}$ $x_2 = \frac{6}{5}$ $x_3 = \frac{8}{5}$ $Z_{max} = \frac{54}{5}$ Ans.

Ex4! Solve LPP!

max $Z = 60x_1 + 40x_2$
 subject to

$4x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 100$

$4x_1 + 6x_2 \leq 180$

$x_1 + x_2 = 40$

$x_1 \leq 20$

$x_2 \geq 10$

$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$

Standard LPP

max $Z = 60x_1 + 40x_2 + 0s_1 + 0s_2$
 $+ M a_1 + 0s_3 - M a_2$

subject to

$4x_1 + 2x_2 + s_1 = 100$

$4x_1 + 6x_2 + s_2 = 180$

$x_1 + x_2 + a_1 = 40$

$x_1 + s_3 = 20$

$x_2 - s_4 + a_2 = 10$

$x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2, s_3, a_1, a_2 \geq 0$

CB	BV	x_B	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	s_3	a_1	a_2	min Ratio
0	s_1	100	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	50
0	s_2	180	4	6	0	1	0	0	0	30
M	a_1	40	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	40
0	s_3	20	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	-
M	a_2	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	10 \rightarrow
$Z_j - C_j$			60-M	40-2M	0	0	0	0	0	min ratio
0	s_1	80	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	20 \rightarrow
0	s_2	120	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	30
M	a_1	30	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	30
0	s_3	20	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	20
40	x_2	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	-
$Z_j - C_j$			60-M	0	0	0	0	0	0	min ratio
60	x_1	20	1	0	1/4	0	0	0	0	
0	s_2	40	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	
M	a_1	10	0	0	-1/4	0	0	1	0	
0	s_3	0	0	0	-1/4	0	1	0	0	
40	x_2	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
$Z_j - C_j$			0	0	-60-M/4	0	0	0	0	

Since $Z_j - C_j \leq 0$ at all, optimality is reached. But there is a artificial variable (a_1) in basis with positive value. Hence solution is infeasible.

Ex 5 In above problem change the R.H.S of constraint 3. i.e, instead of $b_3 = 40$ take $b_3 = 30$. Recompute the problem and check the result.

Soln 5 In the last table we will find the artificial variable although present in basis will have value zero. Hence, solution will be feasible but degenerate.
 $x_1 = 20, x_2 = 0, z_{max} = 1200$.

Ex Solve using Big-M method:

$$\begin{aligned} \max z &= 3x_1 + 2x_2 \\ \text{subject to} \\ 2x_1 + x_2 &\leq 2 \\ 3x_1 + 4x_2 &\geq 12 \\ x_1, x_2 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Soln

CB	BV	x_B	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	a_i	min ratio
0	s_1	2	2	1	1	0	0	2 →
-M	a_1	12	3	4	0	-1	1	3
$c_j - z_j$			$3 + 3M$	$2 + 4M$	0	-M	0	
2	x_2	2	2	1	1	0	0	
-M	a_1	4	-1	0	-4	-1	1	
$c_j - z_j$			-1-M	0	-2-4M	-M	0	

All $c_j - z_j \leq 0$ But artificial variable is present in basis with +ive value ($a_1 = 4$) Hence solution is infeasible.