Software Process

A *process* is a collection of **activities**, **actions**, **and tasks** that are performed when some work product is to be created.

An *activity* strives to achieve a broad objective (e.g., communication with stakeholders) and is applied regardless of the application domain, size of the project, complexity of the effort, or degree of rigor with which software engineering is to be applied.

An *action* encompasses a set of tasks that produce a major work product (e.g., an architectural design model).

A *task* focuses on a small, but well-defined objective (e.g., conducting a unit test) that produces a tangible outcome.

A *process framework* establishes the foundation for a complete software engineering process by identifying a small number of *framework activities* that are applicable to all software projects, regardless of their size or complexity. In addition, the process framework encompasses a set of *umbrella activities* that are applicable across the entire software process.

A generic process framework for software engineering encompasses five activities:

- **Communication.** Before any technical work can commence, it is critically important to communicate and collaborate with the customer. The intent is to understand stakeholders objectives for the project and to gather requirements that help define software features and functions.
- **Planning.** Any complicated journey can be simplified if a map exists. A software project is a complicated journey, and the planning activity creates a "map" that helps guide the team as it makes the journey. The map—called a *software project plan*—defines the software engineering work by describing the technical tasks to be conducted, the risks that are likely, the resources that will be required, the work products to be produced, and a work schedule.
- Modeling. Creation of models to help developers and customers understand the requires and software design
- **Construction.** This activity combines code generation and the testing that is required to uncover errors in the code.
- **Deployment.** The software is delivered to the customer who evaluates the delivered product and provides feedback based on the evaluation.

These **five** generic framework activities can be used during the development of small, simple programs, the creation of large Web applications, and for the engineering of large, complex computer-based systems.

Software engineering process framework activities are complemented by a number of Umbrella Activities. In general, umbrella activities are applied throughout a software project and help a software team manage and control progress, quality, change, and risk. Typical umbrella activities include:

- **Software project tracking and control**—allows the software team to assess progress against the project plan and take any necessary action to maintain the schedule.
- **Risk management**—assesses risks that may affect the outcome of the project or the quality of the product.
- **Software quality assurance**—defines and conducts the activities required to ensure software quality.
- **Technical reviews**—assesses software engineering work products in an effort to uncover and remove errors before they are propagated to the next activity.
- **Measurement**—defines and collects process, project, and product measures that assist the team in delivering software that meets stakeholders needs; can be used in conjunction with all other framework and umbrella activities.
- **Software configuration management**—manages the effects of change throughout the software process.
- **Reusability management**—defines criteria for work product reuse and establishes mechanisms to achieve reusable components.
- Work product preparation and production—encompasses the activities required to create work products such as models, documents, logs, forms, and lists.

Attributes for Comparing Process Models

- Overall flow and level of interdependencies among tasks
- Degree to which work tasks are defined within each framework activity
- Degree to which work products are identified and required
- Manner in which quality assurance activities are applied
- Manner in which project tracking and control activities are applied
- Overall degree of detail and rigor of process description
- Degree to which stakeholders are involved in the project
- Level of autonomy given to project team

• Degree to which team organization and roles are prescribed

The Software Engineering Practice

The Essence of Practice

- Understand the problem (communication and analysis)
- Plan a solution (software design)
- Carry out the plan (code generation)
- Examine the result for accuracy (testing and quality assurance)

Understand the Problem

- Who are the stakeholders?
- What functions and features are required to solve the problem?
- Is it possible to create smaller problems that are easier to understand?
- Can a graphic analysis model be created?

Plan the Solution

- Have you seen similar problems before?
- Has a similar problem been solved?
- Can readily solvable sub problems be defined?
- Can a design model be created?

Carry Out the Plan

- Does solution conform to the plan?
- Is each solution component provably correct?

Examine the Result

- Is it possible to test each component part of the solution?
- Does the solution produce results that conform to the data, functions, and features required?

Software Myths

Software Myths- beliefs about software and the process used to build it - can be traced to the earliest days of computing. Myths have a number of attributes that have made them insidious. For instance, myths appear to be reasonable statements of fact, they have an intuitive feel, and they are often promulgated by experienced practitioners who "know the score"

Management Myths :

Managers with software responsibility, like managers in most disciplines, are often under pressure to maintain budgets, keep schedules from slipping, and improve quality. Like a drowning person who grasps at a straw, a software manager often grasps at belief in a software myth.

Myth: We already have a book that's full of standards and procedures for building software. Won't that provide my people with everything they need to know? Reality:

- The book of standards may very well exist, but is it used?
- Are software practitioners aware of its existence?
- Does it reflect modern software engineering practice?
- Is it complete?
- Is it adaptable?
- Is it streamlined to improve time to delivery while still maintaining a focus on Quality? In many cases, the answer to these entire question is NO.

Myth: If we get behind schedule, we can add more programmers and catch up

Reality : Software development is not a mechanistic process like manufacturing. "Adding people to a late software project makes it later." At first, this statement may seem counterintuitive. However, as new people are added, people who were working must spend time educating the newcomers, thereby reducing the amount of time spent on productive development effort

Myth : If we decide to outsource the software project to a third party, I can just relax and let that firm build it.

Reality : If an organization does not understand how to manage and control software project internally, it will invariably struggle when it out sources software project.

Customer Myths

A customer who requests computer software may be a person at the next desk, a technical group down the hall, the marketing /sales department, or an outside company that has requested software under contract. In many cases, the customer believes myths about software because software managers and practitioners do little to correct misinformation. Myths led to false expectations and ultimately, dissatisfaction with the developers.

Myth : A general statement of objectives is sufficient to begin writing programs - we can fill in details later.

Reality : Although a comprehensive and stable statement of requirements is not always possible, an ambiguous statement of objectives is a recipe for disaster. Unambiguous requirements are developed only through effective and continuous communication between customer and developer.

Myth : *Project requirements continually change, but change can be easily accommodated because software is flexible.*

Reality : It's true that software requirement change, but the impact of change varies with the time at which it is introduced. When requirement changes are requested early, cost impact is relatively small. However, as time passes, cost impact grows rapidly – resources have been committed, a design framework has been established, and change can cause upheaval that requires additional resources and major design modification.

Practitioner's myths.

Myths that are still believed by software practitioners have been fostered by 50 years of programming culture. During the early days of software, programming was viewed as an art form. Old ways and attitudes die hard.

Myth: Once we write the program and get it to work, our job is done.

Reality: Someone once said that "the sooner you begin 'writing code', the longer it'll take you to get done." Industry data indicate that between 60 and 80 percent of all effort expended on software will be expended after it is delivered to the customer for the first time.

Myth: Until I get the program "running" I have no way of assessing its quality.

Reality: One of the most effective software quality assurance mechanisms can be applied from the inception of a project—the *formal technical review*. Software reviews are a "quality filter" that have been found to be more effective than testing for finding certain classes of software defects.

Myth: The only deliverable work product for a successful project is the working program.

Reality: A working program is only one part of a *software configuration* that includes many elements. Documentation provides a foundation for successful engineering and, more important, guidance for software support.

Myth: Software engineering will make us create voluminous and unnecessary documentation and will invariably slow us down.

Reality: Software engineering is not about creating documents. It is about creating quality. Better quality leads to reduced rework. And reduced rework results in faster delivery times. Many software professionals recognize the fallacy of the myths just described. Regrettably, habitual attitudes and methods foster poor management and technical practices, even when reality dictates a better approach. Recognition of software realities is the first step toward formulation of practical solutions for software engineering.