

Relations:

Let A and B be two non-empty sets. A relation from A to B is subset of $A \times B$ denoted R . i.e.,
 $R \subseteq A \times B = \{(x, y) : x \in A, y \in B\}$

* If x is related to y by a relation R then we write $x R y$ or $(x, y) \in R$.

* If $\#(A) = m$ & $\#(B) = n$ then there can be at most 2^{mn} relations from A to B .

Ex Let $A = \{2, 4, 6, 8\}$ $B = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$ & $R \subseteq A \times B$.

1) $x R y$ iff $x < y$. $R = \{(2, 3), (2, 5), (2, 7), (4, 5), (4, 7), (6, 7)\}$

2) $x R y$ iff $x = y + 1$ $R = \{(2, 1), (4, 1), (6, 5), (8, 7)\}$

3) $x R y$ iff $x + y$ is divisible by 3.

$R = \{(2, 1), (2, 7), (4, 5), (6, 3), (8, 1), (8, 7)\}$

Similarly many other relations can be defined from A to B .

* These are called Binary Relations.

* If x is not related to y via relation R then we write $x \not R y$ or $(x, y) \notin R$.

Domain of Relation: Set of first element of every ordered pair of R . $D = \{x : x \in A, (x, y) \in R, y \in B\}$.

Range of Relation: Set of 2nd element of every ordered pair of R . $R = \{y : y \in B, (x, y) \in R, x \in A\}$.

let
Ex $R = \{(2,1), (2,5), (4,3), (4,7), (6,7), (8,3)\}$
 be relation from A to B. Then
 Domain $R = \{2, 4, 6, 8\}$
 Range $R = \{1, 5, 3, 7\}$.

* In other words if $R \subseteq A \times B$ then
 $\text{domain}(R) \subseteq A$ & $\text{range}(R) \subseteq B$.

Inverse Relation: If $R \subseteq A \times B$ then
 $R = \{(x,y) : x \in A, y \in B \text{ and } xRy\}$.

Then R^{-1} is relation from B to A defined by
 $R^{-1} = \{(y,x) : (x,y) \in R, x \in A, y \in B\}$.

Ex Let R be relation in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ above. Then
 $R^{-1} = \{(1,2), (5,2), (3,4), (7,4), (7,6), (3,8)\}$.

* $\text{Domain}(R) = \text{Range}(R^{-1})$
 $\text{Range}(R) = \text{Domain}(R^{-1})$

* If $A=B$ then we say R is relation on set A .
 and $R \subseteq A \times A$.

Ex Let R and S be two relations from A to B. Show that
 (a) $(R \cap S)^{-1} = R^{-1} \cap S^{-1}$ (b) $(R \cup S)^{-1} = R^{-1} \cup S^{-1}$.

Soln (a) Let $(x,y) \in (R \cap S)^{-1} \Rightarrow (y,x) \in R \cap S$
 $\Rightarrow (y,x) \in R$ and $(y,x) \in S$
 $\Rightarrow (x,y) \in R^{-1}$ and $(x,y) \in S^{-1}$
 $\Rightarrow (x,y) \in R^{-1} \cap S^{-1} //$

$$(b) \text{ Let } (x, y) \in (R \cup S)^T \Rightarrow (y, x) \in R \cup S$$

$$\Rightarrow (y, x) \in R \text{ or } (y, x) \in S$$

$$\Rightarrow (x, y) \in R^T \text{ or } (x, y) \in S^T$$

$$\Rightarrow (x, y) \in R^T \cup S^T //$$

Composition of Relation: Let R be relation from A to B and S be relation from B to C . Then SoR is a relation from A to C , defined by

$$SoR = \{ (x, z) : \exists y \in B \text{ s.t. } (x, y) \in R \text{ and } (y, z) \in S \}$$

$$x \in A, z \in C$$

$$\text{i.e., } (x, y) \in R \text{ \& } (y, z) \in S \Rightarrow (x, z) \in SoR. \text{ --- } (*)$$

Theorem: If R^T and S^T are inverse of relations R and S respectively, then $(SoR)^T = R^T \circ S^T$.

Prf: Let $R \subseteq A \times B$ and $S \subseteq B \times C$. Then $SoR \subseteq A \times C$

Then

$$\text{Let } (z, x) \in (SoR)^T \text{ (i.e., } (x, z) \in SoR)$$

$$\Rightarrow (x, z) \in SoR$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists y \in B \text{ such that } (x, y) \in R \text{ and } (y, z) \in S$$

$$\Rightarrow (y, x) \in R^T \text{ and } (z, y) \in S^T$$

$$\Rightarrow (z, x) \in R^T \circ S^T \text{ (see } *)$$

$$\Rightarrow (SoR)^T \subseteq R^T \circ S^T \text{ --- (1)}$$

$$\text{Similarly prove } R^T \circ S^T \subseteq (SoR)^T \text{ --- (2)}$$

(Just revert the steps above)

$$\therefore \text{ From (1) \& (2) } (SoR)^T = R^T \circ S^T //$$

Do it
Ex

If R \& S are relation on A then prove that $R \cup S$, $R - S$ are also relations on A .

Relation on a Set: If $A = B$ then
 $R \subseteq A \times A$ and we say R is relation on set A .

Identity relation on set A is defined as

$$I_A = \{f(x, x) : x \in A\}$$

Clearly $\text{domain}(I_A) = \text{range}(I_A)$

Types of relations:

1. Reflexive Relation: Let R be a relation on set A . Then R is reflexive if $x R x \forall x \in A$.
or, $(x, x) \in R \forall x \in R$.

eg. Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ & $R = \{(1, 2), (1, 1), (2, 2)\}$
 $\quad \quad \quad (2, 1)$

Then R is not reflexive as $(3,3) \notin R$.

3. Symmetric Relation: A relation R on set A is said to be symmetric if aRb then bRa or, if $(a,b) \in R$ then $(b,a) \in R$; $a,b \in A$

e.g. $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ $R = \{(1, 2), (1, 1), (3, 3), (2, 1)\}$
is a symmetric relation

3. Anti-Symmetric Relation: A relation R on set A is said to be anti-symmetric if $aRb \neq bRa$ then $a \neq b$ i.e., if $(a,b) \in R$ & $(b,a) \in R$ then $a=b$.

Let $A = \mathbb{N}$ and $R \rightarrow a \text{ divides } b$. Then if $a \text{ divides } b \wedge b \text{ divides } a$ then $a = b$. $\therefore$

4. Transitive Relation: Let R be relation on set A . Then R is called transitive if aRb and bRc then aRc i.e., $(a,b) \in R, (b,c) \in R \Rightarrow (a,c) \in R$.

Ex Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ & $R = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3)\}$.

Then R is transitive. $(1, 2), (2, 3) \in R$
 $\Rightarrow (1, 3) \in R$.

Ex If $A = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 10\}$ and the relation R is defined such that $xRy \Leftrightarrow x+2y=0, x, y \in A$ then find the (1) domain(R) (2) range(R) (3) R^{-1}

Soln $xRy \Leftrightarrow x+2y=0$ or, $x=-2y$
 $\therefore R = \{(2, -1), (4, -2), (6, -3), (8, -4), (10, -5)\}$

(1) domain(R) = $\{2, 4, 6, 8, 10\}$

(2) range(R) = $\{-1, -2, -3, -4, -5\}$

(3) $R^{-1} = \{(-1, 2), (-2, 4), (-3, 6), (-4, 8), (-5, 10)\}$

Ex In above example $xRy \Leftrightarrow x+2y=10$. Find R, R^{-1}

Soln $x=2, y=4; x=4, y=3; x=6, y=2; x=8, y=1$

$\therefore R = \{(2, 4), (4, 3), (6, 2), (8, 1)\}$

and $R^{-1} = \{(4, 2), (3, 4), (2, 6), (1, 8)\}$

Ex If $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then which of the following relations are (1) reflexive (2) symmetric (3) transitive

(1) $R = \{(1, 2), (2, 2), (3, 4), (4, 3), (2, 3), (3, 2), (3, 3)\}$

(2) $R = \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 4)\}$

(3) $R = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3)\}$

$$(1) R = \{(1,2), (2,2), (3,4), (4,3), (2,3), (3,2), (3,3)\}$$

(a) Not reflexive as $(1,1), (4,4) \notin R$.

(b) Not symmetric as $(1,2) \in R$ & $(2,1) \notin R$.

(c) Not transitive as $(4,3), (3,4) \in R \nRightarrow (4,4) \in R$.

This is ^{not} anti-symmetric (why?)

$$(2) R = \{(1,2), (2,1), (1,1), (2,2), (3,4)\}$$

(a) Not reflexive: $(3,3), (4,4) \notin R$.

(b) Not symmetric as $(3,4) \in R$ but $(4,3) \notin R$.

(c) Transitive.

(d) Not ~~trans~~ anti-symmetric as $(1,2), (2,1) \in R$ but $1 \neq 2$.

$$(3) R = \{(1,1), (2,2), (3,3)\}$$

(1) Reflexive (2) Symmetric (3) transitive

(4) anti-symmetric.

Ex Let R be relation on set of all integers. Determine whether R is reflexive, symmetric, anti-symmetric or transitive where $(x,y) \in R$ iff

(a) $xy > 1$ (b) $|y-x|+2$ is a prime.

Soln (a) $x \sim y$ iff $xy > 1$

Not reflexive as $(1,1) \notin R$ ($\because 1 \cdot 1 \not> 1$)

Symmetric - Yes $xy > 1 \Rightarrow yx > 1$

transitive - Yes

anti-symm - NO $xy > 1 \& yx > 1 \nRightarrow x=y$

$$xy > 1 \& yz > 1 \Rightarrow z > \frac{1}{y}$$

$$\rightarrow xz = xy \cdot \frac{z}{y} > \frac{z}{y} \quad (\because xy > 1) \Rightarrow z > \frac{1}{y}$$

(b) $(x, y) \in R$ iff $|y-x|+2$ is prime

(1) Reflexive - yes $|x-x|+2 = 2 \rightarrow$ prime

(2) If $|y-x|+2$ is prime $\rightarrow |x-y|+2$ is prime
 $\Rightarrow R$ is symmetric

(3) transitive: No,

$(x, y) \in R \Rightarrow |y-x|+2$ is prime

$(y, z) \in R \Rightarrow |z-y|+2$ is prime

$\nexists |z-x|+2 \neq (|z-y|+2)$

$$= |z-y+y-x|+2$$

$$\neq |z-y|+|y-x|+2$$

(4) $(x, y) \in R \Rightarrow |y-x|+2$ is prime $\nRightarrow x=y$
 $(y, x) \in R \Rightarrow |x-y|+2$ is prime

$\therefore$ Not antisymmetric

Ex Give an example of relation which is

- (a) reflexive but not symmetric & transitive
- (b) symmetric but not reflexive and transitive
- (c) transitive and reflexive but not symmetric

soln Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$

(a) $R = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (1, 2), (2, 3)\}$

(b) $R = \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (3, 3)\}$

(c) $R = \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (1, 1), (2, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3)\}$

Equivalence Relation: A relation on set A is said to be an equivalence relation if it is
(1) Reflexive (2) Symmetric (3) Transitive.

Ex Let $\mathbb{Z}_0$ denote set of all non-zero integers and R be relation on $\mathbb{Z}_0$ defined by $x^y = y^x$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_0$. Is R an equivalence relation?

$$R = \{(x, y) : x^y = y^x, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_0\}$$

Soln (1) Reflexive

Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_0$. Then $x^x = x^x \Rightarrow (x, x) \in R \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_0$.
 $\therefore$ Reflexive.

(2) Symmetric:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } (x, y) \in R &\Rightarrow x^y = y^x \\ &\Rightarrow y^x = x^y \Rightarrow (y, x) \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, symmetric.

(3) Transitive:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } (x, y), (y, z) \in R &\Rightarrow x^y = y^x \text{ and } y^z = z^y \\ &\Rightarrow (x^y)^z = (y^x)^z \quad \text{and } \Rightarrow (y^z)^x = (z^y)^x \\ &\Rightarrow (x^z)^y = (y^z)^x \quad \text{--- (1)} \quad \Rightarrow (y^z)^x = (z^x)^y \quad \text{--- (2)} \end{aligned}$$

From (1) & (2)

$$(x^z)^y = (z^x)^y \Rightarrow x^z = z^x \Rightarrow (x, z) \in R.$$

Hence, transitive.

Ex Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be set of integers and $X = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Define relation $\sim$ on X as $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$ if $ad = bc$. Prove that ' $\sim$ ' is an equivalence relation.

Soln Let $(a, b) \in X$. Now, $ab = ba \quad (\because a, b \in \mathbb{Z})$
 $\Rightarrow (a, b) \sim (a, b)$
 $\Rightarrow \sim$ is reflexive.

2) Let $(a, b), (c, d) \in X$

$$\text{Let } (a, b) \sim (c, d) \Rightarrow ad = bc$$

$$\Rightarrow bc = ad$$

$$\Rightarrow (c, d) \sim (a, b) \Rightarrow \sim \text{ is symmetric}$$

3) Let $(a, b), (c, d), (e, f) \in X$

$$(a, b) \sim (c, d) \text{ and } (c, d) \sim (e, f)$$

$$\Rightarrow ad = bc \quad \Rightarrow cf = de$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d} \quad \Rightarrow \frac{c}{d} = \frac{e}{f}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{a}{b} = \frac{e}{f} \Rightarrow af = be \Rightarrow (a, b) \sim (e, f)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sim \text{ is transitive}$$

Hence, ' $\sim$ ' is an equivalence relation

Ex If R and S are equivalence relations on set A then

(1) $R \cap S$ is an equivalence relation

(2) $R \cup S$ may not be an equivalence relation

Soln (1) Let R & S be equivalence relation on set A .

$$(1) \text{ Let } (x, x) \in R \text{ \& } (x, x) \in S \quad \forall x \in A \quad (\because R \& S \text{ are eq. rel.})$$

$$\Rightarrow (x, x) \in R \cap S \quad \forall x \in A$$

$$\Rightarrow R \cap S \text{ is reflexive}$$

$$(2) \text{ Let } (x, y) \in R \cap S \Rightarrow (x, y) \in R \text{ \& } (x, y) \in S$$

$$\Rightarrow (y, x) \in R \text{ \& } (y, x) \in S \quad (\because R \& S \text{ are eq. rel. hence symm})$$

$$\Rightarrow (y, x) \in R \cap S$$

$$\Rightarrow R \cap S \text{ is symmetric}$$

$$(3) \text{ Let } (x, y), (y, z) \in R \cap S \Rightarrow (x, y), (y, z) \in R \text{ and } (x, y), (y, z) \in S$$

$$\Rightarrow (x, z) \in R \text{ and } (x, z) \in S$$

$$(\because R \& S \text{ are eq. rel. hence transitive})$$

$$\Rightarrow (x, z) \in R \cap S \Rightarrow R \cap S \text{ is transitive}$$

$$\Rightarrow R \cap S \text{ is an eq. relation.}$$

(2) Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$

$$R = \{(1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (1,3), (3,1)\}$$

$$S = \{(1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (1,2), (2,1)\}$$

} eq. rel.

$$R \cup S = \{(1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (1,3), (3,1), (1,2), (2,1)\}$$

$(2,1) \notin (1,3), \in R \cup S$ But $(2,3) \notin R \cup S$.

$\therefore R \cup S$ may not be eq. relation.

ex If R is an equivalence relation on A then R^{-1} is also an equivalence relation on A .

Soln (i) Let $(x,x) \in R \quad \forall x$ ($\because R$ is reflexive)

$$\Rightarrow (x,x) \in R^{-1} \quad \forall x$$

$\Rightarrow R^{-1}$ is reflexive

$$(ii) (x,y) \in R^{-1} \Rightarrow (y,x) \in R \Rightarrow (x,y) \in R \quad (\because R \text{ is symmetric})$$

$$\Rightarrow (y,x) \in R^{-1}$$

$\Rightarrow R^{-1}$ is symmetric

$$(3) (x,y), (y,z) \in R^{-1} \Rightarrow (y,x), (z,y) \in R$$

$$\Rightarrow (z,x) \in R \quad (\because R \text{ is transitive})$$

$$\Rightarrow (x,z) \in R^{-1}$$

$\Rightarrow R^{-1}$ is transitive.

Hence, R^{-1} is an equivalence relation.

ex Let R be relation on set of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $a \equiv b \pmod{3}$. Show that it is an equivalence relation.

Soln $a \equiv b \pmod{3} \Rightarrow a-b$ is a multiple of 3

$$\text{i.e., } a-b=3k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(1) Reflexive: $x \equiv x \pmod{3}$ as $x-x=0(3)$ $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $\Rightarrow (x, x) \in R \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence reflexive

(2) Symmetric: $(x, y) \in R \Rightarrow x-y=3k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $\Rightarrow y-x=3(-k), -k \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $\Rightarrow (y, x) \in R$. Hence symmetric

(3) Transitive: $(x, y), (y, z) \in R \Rightarrow x-y=3k, y-z=3l, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $\therefore x-z = x-y+y-z = 3k+3l = 3(k+l), k+l \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $\Rightarrow (x, z) \in R$. Hence transitive

$\Rightarrow a \equiv b \pmod{3}$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{Z}$.

Equivalence class: Let R be an equivalence relation on set A . Then set of all elements of A that are related to x under R is called equivalence class of x under R , i.e.,
 $[x] = \{y : y \in A \text{ and } (x, y) \in R\}$

Note: $A/R = \{[x] : x \in A\} \rightarrow$ Set of all equivalence classes of elements of A under eq. relation R is called quotient set of A by R