Doctrine of Pleasure | 09 Feb 2024

Introduction

The doctrine of pleasure been adopted from the **British legal system.** It has been modified to suit Indian context as per prevailing social structure in India.

What is the Doctrine of Pleasure?

- The doctrine of Pleasure means that the Crown has the power to terminate the services of a civil servant at any time they want without giving any notice of termination to the servant and thus a civil servant holds office during the pleasure of the Crown.
- This doctrine is based on **public policy**.

What are the Constitutional Provisions in Relation to Doctrine of Pleasure?

- As per Article 155 of the Constitution of India, 1950 (COI), the Governor of a State is appointed by the President and holds the office during the pleasure of the President.
- Article 310 of the COI states that the civil servants (members of the Defence Services, Civil Services, All-India Services or persons holding military posts or civil posts under the Centre/State) hold office at the pleasure of the <u>President</u> or the <u>Governor</u> as the case may be.

What are the Restrictions on the Doctrine of Pleasure?

- The COI lays down the following restrictions on the exercise of this doctrine:
 - The pleasure of the President or Governor is **controlled by the provisions of the Article 311** of the COI, so the field covered by Article 311 is excluded from the operation of this doctrine.
 - The tenure of the Supreme Court Judges, High Court Judges, Comptroller and Auditor General of India, Chief Election Commissioner are **not dependent on the pleasure of the President or the Governor** as the case may be. These posts are excluded from the operation of the doctrine of pleasure.
 - This doctrine is **subject to** Fundamental Rights.
 - Article 311 of the COI provides the following **safeguards to civil servants** against any arbitrary dismissal from their posts:
 - It **imposes restrictions** on the removal of a civil servant.
 - It provides for civil servants being given a **reasonable opportunity** for a hearing on the charges against them.

What are the Landmark Case Laws of Doctrine of Pleasure?

- State of Bihar v. Abdul Majid (1954):
 - The <u>Supreme Court</u> held that in relation to the Doctrine of Pleasure, the English Common Law has **not been adopted in its entirety** and with all its rigorous implications.
- Union of India v. Tulsiram Patel (1985):
 - The Supreme Court held that the doctrine of pleasure was neither a relic of the feudal age nor was it based on any special prerogative of the British Crown but was **based upon public policy.**